# **Appendix**

**Appendix A1** Extent of evidence

	Behavior			Knowledg	Knowledge, attitudes, and values			Academic achievement		
Intervention name	Number of studies	Sample size (schools/ students)	Extent of evidence	Number of studies	Sample size (schools/ students)	Extent of evidence	Number of studies	Sample size (schools/ students)	Extent of evidence	
Building Decision Skills + Service Learning	0	0	na	1	1/283	Small	0	0	na	
Caring School Community™	2	16/2,336	Moderate/large	2	10/2,303	Moderate/large	2	16/3,000+	Moderate/large	
Connect with Kids	1	12/800	Small	0	0	na	0	0	na	
Facing History and Ourselves	1	5/346	Small	1	5/346	Small	0	0	na	
<b>Heartwood Ethics Curriculum</b>	1	4/870	Small	1	4/858	Small	0	0	na	
Lessons in Character	1	7/141	Small	2	22/433	Moderate/large	1	7/141	Small	
Positive Action	2	56/4,000+	Moderate/large	0	0	na	2	56/4,000+	Moderate/large	
Skills for Action	0	0	na	1	25/1,800	Small	0	0	na	
Skills for Adolescence	1	34/7,426	Small	0	0	na	0	0	na	
Too Good for Drugs™	1	6/1,051	Small	2	12/1,995	Moderate/large	0	0	na	
Too Good for Drugs & Violence	0	0	na	2	27/504	Moderate/large	0	0	na	
Too Good for Violence	1	10/999	Small	1	10/999	Small	0	0	na	
Voices Literature and Character Education	0	0	na	1	5/98	Small	0	0	na	

na = not studied

Note: All the programs that received a rating of moderate/large had at least four schools in each of the studies reviewed. A rating of "moderate to large" requires at least two studies and two schools across studies in one domain and a total sample size across studies of at least 350 students or 14 classrooms. Otherwise, the rating is "small."

# **Appendix A2** Targeted population

Program name	Targeted students (grades)	Students in studies reviewed (grades)
Building Decision Skills + Service Learning	7–12	12
Caring School Community <sup>™</sup>	K-6	K-6
Connect with Kids	3–12	3–12
Facing History and Ourselves	6–12	8
Heartwood Ethics Curriculum	K-6	1–6
Lessons in Character	K-8	4–5
Positive Action	K–12	1–6
Skills for Action	9–12	9–12
Skills for Adolescence	6–8	6–8
Too Good for Drugs™	K-8	3, 4, 6
Too Good for Violence	K-8	3
Too Good for Drugs and Violence	9–12	9–12
Voices Literature and Character Education Program	K–12	6–7

Note: This table presents a comparison of targeted grade levels and the grade levels in the studies reviewed by the WWC. Grade levels are related to student age and may affect outcomes due to differences in the students' developmental stages as well as differences in school size and organization.

# Appendix A3 Summary of statistically significant<sup>1</sup> or substantively important<sup>2</sup> positive findings

	Behavior <sup>3</sup>		Knowledge, attitud	les, and values <sup>3</sup>	Academic achievement <sup>3</sup>	
	Statistically significant positive findings	Behavior across outcomes	Statistically significant positive findings	Knowledge across outcomes	Statistically significant positive findings	Academic achievement across outcomes
Building Decision Skills + Servic	e Learning					
Leming 2001 (quasi-experimental design)	na	na	Ethical perspective	ns, nsi	na	na
Caring School Community						
San Ramon Study (randomized controlled trial with confounding problems)	Spontaneous prosocial behavior Supportive, friendly, & helpful behavior	ns, Substantively important	ns	ns, nsi	ns	ns, nsi
The Six-District Study (quasi-experimental design)	ns	ns, nsi	ns	ns, nsi	ns	ns, nsi
Connect with Kids						
Page & D'Agostino 2005 (quasi-experimental design)	Interpersonal behavior survey	Statistically significant, Substantively important	na	na	na	na
Facing History and Ourselves						
Schultz, Barr, & Selman 2001 (quasi-experimental design)	ns	ns, nsi	ns	ns, nsi	na	na
Heartwood Ethics Curriculum						
Leming 2000 (quasi-experimental design)	ns	ns, nsi	ns	ns, nsi	na	na

na = not studied

(continued)

#### ns = not statistically significant

#### nsi = not substantively important

<sup>1.</sup> According to WWC criteria, if a program finds a statistically significant effect, then there is less than a 5% chance that this difference is due to chance. The level of statistical significance was calculated by the WWC and, where necessary, corrects for clustering within classrooms or schools, and for multiple comparisons. For an explanation about the clustering correction, see the <a href="https://www.wwc.number.

<sup>2.</sup> For rating purposes, the WWC considered the statistical significance of the findings and the magnitude of the effect, also called the effect size. An average effect size is the sum of all the effect sizes of the student outcomes in a study in a single domain divided by the number of those outcomes. The WWC considers an average effect size across all student outcomes in one study in a given domain to be substantively important if it is equal to or greater than 0.25.

<sup>3.</sup> No studies showed statistically significant or substantively important negative findings in the domain. For a detailed description of the outcome measures, see Appendix A2 in the WWC intervention reports at <a href="https://www.whatworks.ed.gov">www.whatworks.ed.gov</a>.

**Summary of statistically significant<sup>1</sup> or substantively important<sup>2</sup> positive findings (continued) Appendix A3** 

	Behavior <sup>3</sup>		Knowledge, attitud	les, and values <sup>3</sup>	Academic achievement <sup>3</sup>		
	Statistically significant positive findings	Behavior across outcomes	Statistically significant positive findings	Knowledge across outcomes	Statistically significant positive findings	Academic achievement across outcomes	
Lessons in Character							
Dietsch, Bayha, & Zheng 2005 (randomized controlled trial)	ns	ns, nsi	ns	ns, nsi	Mathematics grades Attendance	Statistically significant, Substantively important	
Lions Quest—Skills for Action							
Laird, Bradley, & Black 1998 (quasi-experimental design)	na	na	ns	ns, nsi	na	na	
Lions Quest—Skills for Adolesce	nce						
Eisen, Zellman, & Murray 2003 (randomized controlled trial)	Binge drinking	ns, nsi	na	na	na	na	
Positive Action							
Flay et al. 2006 (randomized controlled trial)	Suspensions Tobacco use Alcohol use Being drunk Illegal drug use Serious violence (boys)	Statistically significant, Substantively important	na	na	Grade retention	Statistically significant, Substantively important	
Flay & Allred 2003 (quasi-experimental design)	Violence rates Suspension rates	Statistically significant, Substantively important	na	na	The Florida Comprehensive Aptitude Test (FCAT)	Statistically significant, Substantively important	
Too Good for Drugs™							
Bacon 2000 (randomized controlled trial)	na	na	na	ns, nsi	na	na	
Bacon 2003 (randomized controlled trial)	ns	Statistically significant, Substantively important	na	ns, nsi	na	na	

na = not applicable

ns = not statistically significant

nsi = not substantively important

(continued)

#### **Summary of statistically significant<sup>1</sup> or substantively important<sup>2</sup> positive findings (continued) Appendix A3**

	Behavior <sup>3</sup>		Knowledge, attitude	es, and values <sup>3</sup>	Academic achievement <sup>3</sup>			
	Statistically significant positive findings	Behavior across outcomes	Statistically significant positive findings	Knowledge across outcomes	Statistically significant positive findings	Academic achievement across outcomes		
<b>Too Good for Drugs and Violence</b>								
Bacon 2001a (randomized controlled trial)	na	na	Perceptions of social and resistance skills Perceptions of emotional competence	ns, Substantively important	na	na		
Bacon 2001b (quasi-experimental design)	na	na	Perceptions of social and resistance skills Perceptions of emotional competence Positive attitudes towards non-violence Perceptions of assertiveness/ efficacy skills	ns, Substantively important	na	na		
Too Good for Violence								
Hall & Bacon 2005 (randomized controlled trial)	Teacher checklist of student behaviors (20 week follow-up)—total score	Statistically significant, Substantively important	ns	ns, Substantively important	na	na		
Voices Literature and Character Education								
Demetriades-Guyette 2002 (quasi-experimental design)	na	na	na	ns, nsi	na	na		

na = not applicable

ns = not statistically significant

nsi = not substantively important

# Appendix A4 Methodology

Ninety-three studies provided data on 41 character education programs and were classified for the strength of their design. To be fully reviewed, a study had to be a randomized controlled trial or a quasi-experimental design.

## **Eligibility for review**

Quasi-experiments eligible for review include those equating through matching or statistical adjustment, regression discontinuity designs, and single-case designs. No studies based on the latter two types of designs were identified for the character education review. We are currently developing evidence standards for regression discontinuity designs and single-case designs.

The research evidence for programs that have at least one study meeting WWC evidence standards with or without reservations is summarized in individual intervention reports posted on the WWC website. See <a href="http://www.whatworks.ed.gov">http://www.whatworks.ed.gov</a>. So far, 18 studies of 13 character education programs have met evidence standards with or without reservations. The lack of evidence for the remaining programs does not mean that those programs are ineffective; some programs have not yet been studied using a study design that permits the WWC to draw any conclusions about their effectiveness. And for some studies, not enough data were reported (such as descriptive statistics of the findings) to enable us to confirm statistical findings.

#### **Rating of effectiveness**

Each character education program that had at least one study meeting WWC standards with or without reservations received a rating of effectiveness in at least one outcome domain. The rating of effectiveness aims to characterize the existing evidence base in a given domain. The intervention's effects based on the research evidence can be rated as positive, potentially positive, mixed, no discernible effects, potentially negative, or negative.

The level of statistical significance was reported by the study authors or, where necessary, calculated by the WWC to correct for clustering within classrooms or schools and for multiple comparisons. Because of these corrections, the level of statistical significance as calculated by the WWC may differ from the one originally reported by the study authors. For an explanation, see the <a href="https://www.wwc.nc.univ.org/wwc.nc

#### **Extent of evidence**

The evidence base rating represents the size and number of independent samples that were assessed for the purposes of analysis of the program effects. A "moderate/large" evidence base requires at least two studies and two schools across studies within one domain, and a total sample size across studies of at least 350 students or 14 classrooms. Otherwise, the evidence base is considered to be "small." The WWC is currently working to define a "large" evidence base. This term should not be confused with external validity, as other facets of external validity, such as variations in settings, important sub-groups of students, implementation, and outcomes measures, were not taken into account for the purposes of this rating.

# Appendix A4 Methodology

(continued)

## Improvement index

The WWC computes an improvement index for each individual finding. In addition, within each outcome domain, the WWC computes an average improvement index for each domain and each study as well as a domain average improvement index across studies of the same intervention (see the <a href="Technical">Technical</a>
<a href="Details of WWC-Conducted Computations">Details of WWC-Conducted Computations</a>). The improvement index represents the difference between the percentile rank of

the average student in the intervention condition and the percentile rank of the average student in the comparison condition. The improvement index can take on values between –50 and +50, with positive numbers denoting results favorable to the intervention group. Unlike the rating of effectiveness, the improvement index is based only on the size of the difference between the intervention and the comparison conditions.

WWC Topic Report Character Education June 4, 2007

#### Studies that met WWC standards

#### Lessons in Character

Dietsch, B., Bayha, J. L., & Zheng, H. (2005, April). Short-term effects of a character education program among fourth grade students. Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association, Montreal, Canada.

#### Additional citation for this study:

Dietsch, B., & Bayha, J. L. (2005). Short term effects of a literature-based character education program among fourth grade students: Report to the Young People's Press, Inc. Los Alamitos, CA: WestEd.

#### Lion's Quest-Skills for Adolescence

Eisen, M., Zellman, G. L., & Murray, D. M. (2003). Evaluating the Lions-Quest "Skills for Adolescence" drug education program: Second-year behavior outcomes. *Addictive Behaviors*, 28, 883–897.

#### Additional citation for this study:

Eisen, M. (2002). Intermediate outcomes from a life skills education program with a media literacy component. In W. D. Crano & M. Burgoon (Eds.), *Mass media and drug prevention: Classic and contemporary theories and research* (pp. 187–211). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Eisen, M., Zellman, G. L., Massett, H. A., & Murray, D. M. (2002). Evaluating the Lions-Quest "Skills for Adolescence" drug education program: First-year behavior outcomes. *Addictive Behaviors*, *27*, 619–632.

#### Positive Action

Flay, B. R., Acock, A., Vuchinich, S., & Beets, M. (2006, August). Progress report of the randomized trial of Positive Action in Hawai'i: End of third year of intervention. (Available from Positive Action, Inc., 264 4th Avenue, S., Twin Falls, ID 83301.)

#### Too Good for Drugs and Violence

Bacon, T. P. (2001). Evaluation of the Too Good for Drugs and Violence—High school prevention program. Tallahassee, FL:

Florida Department of Education, Department of Safe and Drug-Free Schools.

## Too Good for Drugs™

Bacon, T. P. (2000). The effects of the Too Good for Drugs prevention program on students' substance use intentions and risk and protective factors. *Florida Educational Research Council, Inc., Research Bulletin, 31*(3 & 4), 1–25.

Bacon, T. P. (2003, August). *Technical report: Evaluation of the Too Good for Drugs—Elementary prevention program.* (Available from the Mendez Foundation, 601 S. Magnolia Avenue, Tampa, FL 33606.)

#### Too Good For Violence

Hall, B. W., & Bacon, T. P. (2005). Building a foundation against violence: Impact of a school-based prevention program on elementary students. *Journal of School Violence*, *4*(4), 63–83.

#### Additional citation for this study:

Bacon, T. P. (2003, November). *Technical report: The effects of the Too Good for Violence prevention program on student behaviors and protective factors.* (Available from the Mendez Foundation, 601 S. Magnolia Avenue, Tampa, FL 33606.)

#### Studies that met WWC standards with reservations

#### **Building Decision Skills**

Leming, J. S. (2001). Integrating a structured ethical reflection curriculum into high school community service experiences: Impact on students' sociomoral development. *Adolescence*, *36*(141), 33–45.

#### Connect with Kids

Page, B., & D'Agostino, A. (2005). Connect with Kids 2004–2005 study results for Kansas and Missouri. (Available from the Compass Consulting Group, LLC, 5726 Fayetteville Road, Suite 203, Durham, NC 27713.)

## Caring School Community<sup>™</sup>

## San Ramon Study

(continued)

- Battistich, V. (2003). Effects of a school-based program to enhance prosocial development on children's peer relations and social adjustment. *Journal of Research in Character Education*, 1(1), 1–16.
- Battistich, V., Solomon, D., & Delucchi, K. (1990, August). Effects of a program to enhance prosocial development on adjustment. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Boston, MA.
- Battistich, V., Solomon, D., Watson, M., Solomon, J., & Schaps, E. (1989). Effects of an elementary school program to enhance prosocial behavior on children's cognitive-social problem-solving skills and strategies. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 10(2), 147–169.
- Benninga, J. S., Tracz, S. M., Sparks, R. K., Jr., Solomon, D., Battistich, V., Delucchi, K. L., Sandoval, R., & Stanley, B. (1991). Effects of two contrasting school task and incentive structures on children's social development. *Elementary School Journal*, *92*(2), 149–167.
- Schaps, E. (2003). A drug abuse prevention program follow-up study. Final report. Oakland, CA: Developmental Studies Center.
- Schaps, E., & Lewis, C. (1991). Extrinsic rewards are education's past, not its future. *Educational Researcher*, 48(7), 81.
- Schaps, E., Solomon, D., & Watson, M. (1986). A program that combines character development and academic achievement. *Educational Leadership*, 43(4), 32–35.
- Solomon, D., Battistich, V., Delucchi, K., & Solomon, J. (1989, March). Evaluation of the Child Development Project:

  Research design, procedures, and findings. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Education Research Association, San Francisco, CA.
- Solomon, D., Battistich, V., & Watson, M. (1993, March). A longitudinal investigation of the effects of a school intervention program on children's social development. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, New Orleans, LA.

- Solomon, D., Watson, M., Battistich, V., Schaps, E., & Delucchi, K. (1992). Creating a caring community: Educational practices that promote children's prosocial development. In F. K. Oser, A. Dick, & J. Patry (Eds.), Effective and responsible teaching: The new synthesis (pp. 383–396.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Solomon, D., Watson, M., Battistich, V., Schaps, E., & Delucchi, K. (1996). Creating classrooms that students experience as communities. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 24(6), 719–747.
- Solomon, D., Watson, M., Delucchi, K., Schaps, E., & Battistich, V. (1988). Enhancing children's prosocial behavior in the classroom. *American Educational Research Journal*, 25(4), 527–554.
- Solomon, D., Watson, M., Schaps, E., Battistich, V., & Solomon, J. (1990). Cooperative learning as part of a comprehensive classroom program designed to promote prosocial development. In S. Sharan (Ed.), *Cooperative learning: Theory and* research (pp. 231–260). New York: Praeger.

#### **Six-District Study**

- Battistich, V. (2001, April). Effects of an elementary school intervention on students' "connectedness" to school and social adjustment during middle school. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle, WA.
- Battistich, V., & Hom, A. (1997). The relationship between students' sense of their school community and students' involvement in problem behavior. *American Journal of Public Health*, 87, 1997–2001.
- Battistich, V., Schaps, E., Watson, M., & Solomon, D. (1996).
  Prevention effects of the Child Development Project: Early findings from an ongoing multi-site demonstration trial. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 11(1), 12–35.
- Battistich, V., Schaps, E., Watson, M., Solomon, D., & Lewis, C. (2000). Effects of the Child Development Project on students' drug use and other problem behaviors. *Journal of Primary Prevention*, 21(1), 75–99.

(continued)

- Battistich, V., Schaps, E., & Wilson, N. (2004). Effects of an elementary school intervention on students' "connectedness" to school and social adjustment during middle school. *Journal of Primary Prevention*, 24(3), 243–262.
- Battistich, V., Solomon, D., Kim, D., Watson, M., & Schaps,
  E. (1995). Schools as communities, poverty levels of student populations, and students' attitudes, motives, and performance: A multilevel analysis. *American Education Research Journal*, 32(3), 627–658.
- Battistich, V., Solomon, D., & Watson, M. (1998, April). Sense of community as a mediating factor in promoting children's social and ethical development. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego, CA.
- Battistich, V., Solomon, D., Watson, M., & Schaps, E. (1996, March). *Enhancing students' engagement, participation, and democratic values and attitudes.* Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Battistich, V., Solomon, D., Watson, M., & Schaps, E. (1997). Caring school communities. *Educational Psychologist*, 32(3), 137–151.
- Lewis, C., Battistich, V., Schaps, E., Solomon, D., & Watson, M. S. (1998, April). School improvement for academic development and resilience: Findings of the Child Development Project. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego, CA.
- Lewis, C., Watson, M., & Schaps, E. (2003). Building community in school. In M. J. Elias, H. Arnold, & C. S. Hussey (Eds.), EQ+IQ = Best leadership practices for caring and successful schools (pp. 100–108). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Schaps, E., Battistich, V., & Solomon, D. (1997). School as a caring community: A key to character education. In A. Molnar (Ed.), *The Construction of Children's Character, Part II, 96th Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Schaps, E., Battistich, V., & Solomon, D. (2004). Community in school as key to student growth: Findings from the Child

- Development Project. In J. E. Zins, R. P. Weissberg, M. C. Wang, & H. J. Walberg (Eds.), *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?* (pp. 189–205). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Solomon, D., & Battistich, V. (1993, August). Students in caring classroom communities. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Canada.
- Solomon, D., & Battistich, V. (1996). Teacher beliefs and practices in schools serving communities that differ in socioeconomic level. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 64(4), 327–347.
- Solomon, D., Battistich, V., & Hom, A. (1996, April). *Teacher beliefs and practices in schools serving communities that differ in socioeconomic level.* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York.
- Solomon, D., Battistich, V., Watson, M., Schaps, E., & Lewis, C. (2000). A six-district study of educational change: Direct and mediated effects of the child development project. Social Psychology of Education, 4(1), 3–51.
- Watson, M., Battistich, V., & Solomon, D. (1997). Enhancing students' social and ethical development in schools: An intervention program and its effects. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *27*(7), 571–586.

#### Facing History and Ourselves

Schultz, H. L., Barr, D. J., & Selman, R. L. (2001). The value of a developmental approach to evaluating character development programmes: An ongoing study of Facing History and Ourselves. *Journal of Moral Education*, 30(1), 3–25.

#### Heartwood Ethics Curriculum

Leming, J. S. (2000). Tell me a story: An evaluation of a literature-based character education programme. *Journal of Moral Education*, 29(4), 413–427.

#### Additional citations for this study:

Leming, J. S., & Silva, D. Y. (2001). A five year follow-up evaluation of the effects of the Heartwood Ethics Curriculum on

# Appendix A5 References (continued)

the development of children's character. (Available from the Heartwood Institute, http://www.heartwoodethics. org/docs/Heartwood\_5\_Year\_Report.pdf.)

Leming, J. S., Henricks-Smith, A., & Antis, J. (1997, March). *An evaluation of Heartwood Institute's An Ethics Curriculum for Children: Final report*. Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.

#### Lessons in Character

DeVargas, R. C. (1998). A study of Lessons in Character: The effect of moral development curriculum upon moral judgment. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *59*(11), 4042A. (UMI No. 9913706)

#### Lion's Quest-Skills for Action

Laird, M., Bradley, L. R., & Black, S. (1998). *The final evaluation of Lions-Quest's Skills for Action*. Newark, OH: Lions-Quest. *Additional citation for this study:* 

Keister, S. C. (n.d.). Lions-Quest Skills for Action Summary of research report to Quest International. (Available from Quest International, 1984 Coffman Rd., Newark, OH 43055.) (Study: #1)

#### Positive Action

Flay, B. R., & Allred, C. G. (2003). Long-term effects of the Positive Action program. *American Journal of Healthy Behavior*, 27(1), 6–21.

#### Too Good for Drugs and Violence

Bacon, T. P. (2001). Impact on high school students' behaviors and protective factors: A pilot study of the Too Good for Drugs and Violence prevention program. Florida Educational Research Council, Inc. Research Bulletin, 32(3 & 4), 1–40.

Additional citation for this study:

Bacon, T. P. (2001). Impact on high school students' behaviors and protective factors: A pilot study of the Too Good for Drugs and Violence prevention program. (Available from

the Mendez Foundation, http://www.mendezfoundation.org/TGFDVHS%20research.htm)

#### Voices Literature and Character Education

Demetriades-Guyette, A. (2002). Patterns of change in the social-cognitive development of middle school children following a school-based multicultural literature program. Dissertation Abstracts International, 63(05), 2615B. (UMI No. 3052695)

#### Studies that did not meet evidence screens

# Acquiring Ethical Guidelines for Individual Self Governance (AEGIS)

Weed, S. E. (1995). *Report to the Thrasher Foundation*. Salt Lake City: The Institute for Research & Evaluation.<sup>1</sup>

#### Additional citation for this study:

Weed, S. E. (1995). Weber school district character education evaluation: Summary report. Salt Lake City: The Institute for Research & Evaluation.

#### Building Esteem in Students Today (BEST)

Gooding, T. F. (2004). Character education: Perceptions of social skills acquisition in two elementary schools. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 65(02), 364A. (UMI No. 3123558)<sup>2</sup>

## Caring School Community<sup>™</sup> (CSC)

Roberson, I. (2006). Evaluation report: Caring School Communities 2003–2004. San Francisco: San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD), Program Evaluation & Research Department.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Changing Lives**

Katsuyama, R. M., & Kimble, C. E. (2002). Effects of the Changing Lives character education program upon behaviors and perceptions of students, teachers, and parents: Evidence of transformations in the school climate. Retrieved from http://www.teachingtochangelives.com/elementary/BroadCom.pdf.<sup>2</sup>

(continued)

#### **Character Counts!**

- Harms, K., & Fritz, S. (2001). Internalization of character traits by those who teach Character Counts! *Journal of Extension*, *39*(6). Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2001December/a4.html.<sup>3</sup>
- Sheila Murphy Associates. (n.d.). Year 2 evaluation report: Juvenile detention and corrections implementation of Character Counts! (Report to the Arizona Character Education Commission). Phoenix: Author.<sup>4</sup>
- Sheila Murphy Associates. (2003). Report of implementation: Character Counts! in selected schools and youth related agencies. Phoenix: Author.<sup>3</sup>
- Walsh-Vettern, R., & Wright, B. (1999). South Dakota Character Counts! Evaluation results (First Year's Data 1997–1998).

  Brookings, SD: Cooperative Extension Service at South Dakota State University.<sup>2</sup>

#### Additional citations for this study:

- Fruechte, K., & Mitchell, C. G. (2003). South Dakota Character Counts! Evaluation results (Year Five—2002). Brookings, SD: Cooperative Extension Service at South Dakota State University.
- Mitchell, C. G. (2004). Character Counts! Evaluation report year 6 data, 2003–2004 Student Evaluation: Grades 6–12. Los Angeles: Josephson Institute of Ethics and Character Counts!
- Walsh-Vettern, R., & Moss, M. (2000). South Dakota Character Counts! Evaluation results (Second Year's Data—1999). Brookings, SD: Cooperative Extension Service at South Dakota State University.
- Walsh-Vettern, R., & Moss, M. (2001). South Dakota Character Counts! Evaluation results (Third Year's Data—2000). Brookings, SD: Cooperative Extension Service at South Dakota State University.

## Community of Caring®

Laing, S. O., Fink, K. D., & Johns, J. S. (1999). *Utah community* partnership for character education development final evaluation

- report 1995–1999. Salt Lake City: Utah State Office of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED463224)<sup>5</sup>
- Smith, T. W. (1999). Miracle at Mound Fort Middle School: Reading, service learning, and character education. *NASSP Bulletin*, *83*(609), 52–56.<sup>2</sup>
- Voelker, M. P. (1994). *An option to "just say no": Schools as communities*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED9507505)<sup>2</sup>

#### Facing History and Ourselves (FHAO)

- Beyer, F. S., & Pressesien, B. Z. (1995). Facing History and Ourselves: Initial evaluation of inner city middle school implementation. Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools, Inc.<sup>6</sup>
- Brabeck, M., & Kenny, M. (1994). Human rights education through the "Facing History and Ourselves" program. *Journal of Moral Education*, *23*(3), 333–347.<sup>3</sup>
- Fine, M. (1993). Collaborative innovations: Documentation of the Facing History and Ourselves program. *Teachers College Record*, 94(4), 771–790.<sup>5</sup>
- Presseisen, B. Z., & Beyer, F. S. (1994, April). Facing History and Ourselves: An instructional tool for constructivist theory. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans.<sup>5</sup>
- Sleeper, M., Strom, M. S., & Zabierek, H. C. (1990). Facing History and Ourselves. *Education Leadership*, 48(3), 84–86.<sup>5</sup>
- Strom, M. S. (2003). A work in progress. In S. Totten (Ed.), Working to make a difference: The personal and pedagogical stories of Holocaust educators across the globe (pp.69–102). New York: Lexington Books.<sup>5</sup>
- Tollefson, T., Barr, D. J., & Strom, M. S. (n.d.). *Facing History and Ourselves*. (Available from Facing History and Ourselves, 16 Hurd Road, Brookline, MA 02445.)<sup>5</sup>

#### Giraffe Heroes

Center for Health Education and Research. (1991). *Giraffe Project/Standing Tall evaluation: Final report 1995–1997.*Battle Creek, MI: W. K. Kellogg Foundation.<sup>3</sup>

(continued)

#### Heartwood Ethics Curriculum

Buttram, J. L., Kruse, J., & Sidler, J. (1992). *Evaluation of the Heartwood Program: Executive summary.* Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools.<sup>7</sup>

Piscolish, M. (1991). *Heartwood Program pilot year evaluation:* 1990–91 *full report.* Pittsburgh: Pittsburgh Board of Education.<sup>3</sup>

#### Learning for Life

Ryan, K. (n.d.). Character building with Learning for Life: A research study. Irving, TX: Learning for Life.<sup>2</sup>

#### Lions Quest-Skills for Action

Laird, M., & Black, S. (n.d.). Service-learning evaluation project: Program effects for at-risk students. Newark, OH: Quest International.<sup>8</sup>

#### Additional citation for this study:

Keister, S. C. (n.d.). Lions-Quest Skills for Action Summary of research report to Quest International. (Available from Quest International, 1984 Coffman Rd., Newark, OH 43055.) (Study: #2)

#### Lions Quest-Skills for Adolescence

- Gilman, D. A. (1991). A study of the Lions-Quest program for the academic year 1990–91: A report prepared for the analysis of pretest and posttest measures for the North Gibson School Corporation of Princeton, Indiana. Bloomington, IN: Indiana State University, Professional School Services.<sup>3</sup>
- Goldsmith, L. M. (1990). An evaluation of the influence of the Skills for Adolescence program on the self-esteem and attitude toward school of sixth-grade Mexican-American students. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *51*(04), 1119. (UMI No. 9027782)<sup>8</sup>
- Heinemann, G. H. (1990). The effects of the Lions-Quest "Skills for Adolescence" program on self-esteem development and academic achievement at the middle school level. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *51*(06), 1890A. (UMI No. 9033128)<sup>2</sup>

- Heuer, L. G. (1995). Behaviors, attitudes, and knowledge related to drug and alcohol prevention curricula in North Dakota seventh through twelfth-grade students. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *56*(10), 3826A. (UMI No. 9605473)<sup>3</sup>
- Laird, M., Syropolous, M., & Black, S. (1995). *An evaluation* of Lions-Quest's Skills for Adolescence. Unpublished manuscript.<sup>1</sup>

#### Additional citations for this study:

- Buscemi, M. (n.d.). *Lions-Quest Skills for Adolescence evaluation*. (Available from Quest International, 537 Jones Road, PO Box 566, Grandville, OH 43023)
- Keister, S. C. (n.d.). Lions-Quest Skills for Adolescence. (Available from Quest International, 1984 Coffman Road, Newark, OH 43055)
- Ray, N. G. (1990). The effects of participation in the Lion's Quest-Skills for Adolescence Program on student self-concept at the middle school level. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 52(01), 82A. (UMI No. 9107327)<sup>8</sup>
- Roberson, R. M. (1991). The effects of the Quest's Skills for Adolescence training on the self-concept and reading achievement of sixth-grade students. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *52*(04), 1202A. (UMI No. 9128461)<sup>8</sup>

#### Open Circle

Taylor, C. A., Liang, B., & Tracy, A. J. (2002). Gender differences in middle school adjustment, physical fighting, and social skills: Evaluation of a social competency program. *Journal of Primary Prevention*, 23, 259–272.<sup>2</sup>

#### Pathways to Character

MAGI Educational Services. (2002). *MAGI Educational Services*, *Inc.* (Final Report). White Plains, NY: Author.<sup>3</sup>

#### Positive Action

Allred, C. G. (1984). *The Positive Action program: An evaluation.*Royal School, Honolulu School District, HI. Twin Falls, ID:

Positive Action, Inc.<sup>8</sup>

(continued)

- Burcham, S. (1992). *Improving the academic self-esteem of elementary students*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Nova University, Fort Lauderdale-Davie, FL.<sup>3</sup>
- Flay, B. R. (2001). An intensive case study of the Positive Action program as a comprehensive school reform demonstration program: Year 2 results. Twin Falls, ID: Positive Action, Inc.<sup>3</sup> Additional citation for this study:
  - Flay, B. R. (2000). An intensive case study of the Positive Action® program as a comprehensive school reform demonstration program. Twin Falls, ID: Positive Action, Inc.
- Flay, B. R., Allred, C. G., & Ordway, N. (2001). Effects of the Positive Action program on achievement and discipline: Two matched control comparisons. *Prevention Science*, *2*(2), 71–88.<sup>2</sup>
- Flay, B. R., & Ordway, N. (1999). Effectiveness of the Positive Action program: Changes in school-level percentile achievement rankings and disciplinary report. Twin Falls, ID: Positive Action. Inc.<sup>3</sup>
- Flay, B. R., & Slagel, M. (2006, July). *The Positive Action Family Program: A pilot randomized trial.* (Available from Positive Action, Inc., 264 4th Avenue, S., Twin Falls, ID 83301.)<sup>9</sup>
- Heuer, L. G. (1995). Behaviors, attitudes, and knowledge related to drug and alcohol prevention curricula in North Dakota seventh through twelfth-grade students. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *56*(10), 3826A. (UMI No. 9605473)<sup>3</sup>
- Hoyer-Rufner, C. S. (1994). The Positive Action curriculum for fifth graders: An exploratory study of accuracy of self-perceptions and self-esteem. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 54(7), 2513A. (UMI No. 9333361)<sup>3</sup>
- Waggoner-Weir, M. S. (1991). The Positive Action program and self-esteem of 6th-grade students. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *52*(07), 2417A. (UMI No. 9136806)<sup>8</sup>
- West, B. G. (1997). The effects of improving the self-esteem on academic performance of fourth-grade students in a rural elementary school. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 58(03), 691A. (UMI No. 9726368)<sup>1</sup>
- Woodward, J. R. (1996). *Improving academic achievement of fourth-grade students through a program of self-concept*

enhancement activities. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Nova Southeastern University, Jacksonville, FL.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Project Wisdom**

Manke, B. (2004). 2004 program evaluation results. Bellaire, TX: Project Wisdom.<sup>3</sup>

#### Additional citation for this study:

Manke, B. (2003). 2003 program evaluation results. Bellaire, TX: Project Wisdom.

#### Resolving Conflict Creatively Program™ (RCCP)

Aber, J. L., Jones, S. M., Brown, J. L., Chaudry, N., & Samples, F. (1998). Resolving conflict creatively: Evaluating the developmental effects of a school-based violence prevention program in neighborhood and classroom context. *Development and Psychopathology, 10,* 187–213.<sup>2</sup>

#### Additional citations for this study:

- Aber, J. L., Brown, J. L., Chaudry, N. M., Jones, S. M., & Samples, F. (1996). The evaluation of the Resolving Conflict Creatively program: An overview. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*, *12*(5), 82–90.
- Aber, J. L., Brown, J. L., & Jones, S. M. (2003). Developmental trajectories toward violence in middle childhood: Course, demographic differences, and response to school-based intervention. *Developmental Psychology*, 39(2), 324–348.
- Aber, J. L., Pendersen, S., Brown, J. L., Jones, S. M., & Gershoff, E. T. (2003). Changing children's trajectories of development: Two-year evidence for the effectiveness of a school-based approach to violence prevention. Retrieved from http://www.nccp.org/media/tcr03-text.pdf.
- Brown, J. L., Roderick, T., Lantieri, L., & Aber, J. L. (2004). The Resolving Conflict Creatively program: A school-based social and emotional learning program. In J. E. Zins, R. P. Weissberg, M. C. Wang, & H. J. Walberg (Eds.), *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?* (pp. 151–169). New York: Teachers College Press.

(continued)

- Brown, J. L. (2003). The direct and indirect effects of a school-based social-emotional learning program on trajectories of children's academic achievement. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 64(04), 1923B. (UMI No. 3088300)<sup>1</sup>
- Cole, S. R. (2000). Conflict resolution: Skills to reduce violence and violence-related behaviors. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *61*(06), 2190A. (UMI No. 9977794)<sup>10</sup>
- Garibaldi, A., Blanchard, L., & Brooks, S. (1996). Conflict resolution training, teacher effectiveness, and student suspension: The impact of a health and safety initiative in New Orleans public schools. *The Journal of Negro Education*, 65(4), 408–413.<sup>10</sup>
- Metis Associates. (1990). Resolving Conflict Creatively Program: 1988–1989: Summary of significant findings. New York: Author.<sup>1</sup>
- Metis Associates. (1998). Atlanta public schools Resolving Conflict Creatively Program summary of the final evaluation report: 1996–1997. New York: Author.<sup>3</sup>
- Metis Associates. (1999). Anchorage Resolving Conflict Creatively Program (RCCP) implementation survey: Survey results. New York: Author.<sup>10</sup>
- Metis Associates. (1999). Resolving Conflict Creatively Program (RCCP) at-risk youth component—August 1999. New York:

  Author.<sup>3</sup>
- Metis Associates. (2000). Resolving Conflict Creatively Program Boston Public Schools Evaluation Report 1999–2000. New York: Author.<sup>10</sup>
- Metis Associates. (2001). Resolving Conflict Creatively Program and Adventures in Peacemaking: Newark Public Schools, phase II evaluation report, summer 2001—Updated report.

  New York: Author.<sup>3</sup>

#### Additional citation for this study:

- Metis Associates. (2000). Resolving Conflict Creatively Program: Newark Public Schools, phase 1 evaluation report. New York: Author.
- Patti, J. (1996). Perceptions of the peer mediation component of a schoolwide conflict resolution program: Resolving conflict

creatively. *Dissertation Abstracts International, 57*(04), 1425A. (UMI No. 9625767)<sup>3</sup>

#### The ESSENTIAL Curriculum™

Dunn, L. S., & Wilson, D. E. (n.d.). *Moral classrooms: The development of character and integrity in the elementary school.* Kansas City, MO: Teel Institute for the Development of Integrity and Ethical Behavior.<sup>11</sup>

#### Tribes TLC®

- Brown, L., & Ushijima, T. (1998). *Building school communities: A district success story.* Windsor, CA: CenterSource Systems, LLC.<sup>3</sup>
- Brown, L., & Ushijima, T. (2000). *Analysis of TRIBES assessment* for 15 Central Oahu district schools. Windsor, CA: Center-Source Systems, LLC.<sup>10</sup>
- Chesswas, R. J. D., Davis, L. J., & Hanson, T. (2003, July). *Evaluation of the implementation and impact of Tribes TLC.* (Available from CenterSource Systems, LLC, 7975 Cameron Drive, Building 500, Windsor, CA 95492.)<sup>1</sup>
- Davis, L. J., Hanson, T., & Chesswas, R. J. D. (2003). *Tribes TLC impact on student achievement.* San Francisco: WestEd.<sup>1</sup>
- Davis, L. J., Hanson, T., & Chesswas, R. J. D. (2004). Supplemental report: Evaluation of school context and structures in Tribes TLC schools. San Francisco: WestEd.<sup>10</sup>
- Davis, L. J., Hanson, T., & Chesswas, R. J. D. (2004, January).
  Year 2 evaluation: School context and structures in Tribes TLC schools. (Available from CenterSource Systems, LLC, 7975
  Cameron Drive, Building 500, Windsor, CA 95492.)<sup>10</sup>
- Dworkin, R. J., & Griffith, A. (1999). *An evaluation of the Tribes program.* Houston, TX: Spring Branch Independent School District, Department of Assessment and Research.<sup>3</sup>
- Holt, J. (2000). Tribes training and experiences lower the incidence of referral actions for teachers and students. *PDK Connection*, 1021. Retrieved from http://www.tribes.com/tribes oklahoma report.htm.<sup>1</sup>

(continued)

Kiger, D. (2000a). The Tribes process: Phase III evaluation. Windsor, CA: CenterSource Systems, LLC.1

Kiger, D. (2000b). The Tribes Process TLC: A preliminary evaluation of classroom implementation and impact on student achievement. Education, 120(3), 586-592.1

#### Voices Literature and Character Education (Voices LACE)

- Bol, L., Ross, S. M., Nunnery, J. A., & Alberg, M. S. (2002). A comparison of teachers' assessment practices in school restructuring models by year of implementation. Journal of Education for Students Placed At Risk, 7(4), 407-423.3
- Calaway, F. (2001). Evaluation of the comprehensive school reform models in the Memphis City Schools. Memphis, TN: Memphis City Schools Office of Research and Evaluation.<sup>3</sup>
- Daiute, C., Stern, R., & Lelutiu-Weinberg, C. (2003). Negotiating violence prevention. Journal of Social Issues, 59(1), 83–101.3
- Daiute, D., & Buteau, E. (2002). Writing for their lives: Children's narrative supports for physical and psychological well being. In S. J. Lepme & J. M. Smythe (Eds.), The writing cure: How expressive writing promotes health and emotional well-being (pp. 53-73). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.3

- Koh. M. S., & Robertson, J. S. (2003), School reform models and special education. Education and Urban Society, 35(4), 421-442.3
- Ross, S. M., & Lowther, D. L. (2003). Impacts of the Co-nect school reform design on classroom instruction, school climate, and student achievement in inner-city schools. Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk, 8(2), 215–246.3
- Selman, R. L. (1998). Research and practice in the promotion of intergroup relations in schools. Boston: Harvard University, The Group for the Study of Interpersonal Development. 12
- Suriani, M. M., & Wasson, R. (1994, November). Assessment of an intervention addressing literacy and ethics. Paper presented at the meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association, Nashville, TN.3
- Wasson, R., Anderson, R., Huffman, S., & Suriani, M. (1995). The implementation of Voices of Love and Freedom: A summative report (Tech. Rep. No. 9503). Memphis, TN: University of Memphis. Center for Research in Educational Policy.<sup>3</sup>
- Wasson, R., Huffman, S. B., Burr-McNeal, B., & Kenney, G. (1994). Development and piloting of the prosocial attitude blank. Memphis, TN: University of Memphis, Center for Research in Educational Policy.<sup>2</sup>
- 1. Complete data are not reported: the WWC could not compute effect sizes based on the data reported.
- 2. Does not use a strong causal design: this study, which used a quasi-experimental design, did not establish that the comparison group was equivalent to the intervention group at baseline.
- 3. Does not use a strong causal design: this study did not use a comparison group.
- 4. Does not use a strong causal design: this study administered the initial measurement (pretest) after the intervention began.
- 5. Does not use a strong causal design: this study did not use a quantitative design to assess student outcomes.
- 6. The outcome measures are not shown to be valid or reliable.
- 7. The outcome measures are not relevant to this review: The parameters for this WWC review specified student outcome measures but this study did not focus on students.
- 8. Does not use a strong causal design: there was only one intervention and one comparison unit, so the analysis could not separate the effects of the intervention from other factors.
- 9. The sample is not appropriate to this review
- 10. The outcome measures are not relevant to this review: The parameters for this WWC review specified student outcome measures but this study did not focus on students.
- 11. Does not use a strong causal design: this paper is a review and summary of other research.
- 12. Does not use a strong causal design: this study used a qualitative approach to report findings.